AYNOR HIGH 201 Jordanville Rd. Aynor, SC 29511 9-12 High School GRADES 618 Students ENROLLMENT Marion Shaw 843-358-6261 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Gerrita Postlewait 843-488-6700 BOARD CHAIR Will Garland 843-358-8002 THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: EXCELLENT Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 9 21 8 2 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: EXCELLENT ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: YES This school met 13 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT:

WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

#### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Excellent	Excellent	N/A
2003	Excellent	Good	No
2004	Excellent	Excellent	Yes

## DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

# HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	l	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	72.3	N/A	N/A	73.5	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	12.8	N/A	N/A	14.2	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	14.9	N/A	N/A	12.6	N/A	N/A	

# EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	98.9%	93.8%

## ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	12.6	12.7
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	13.3	12.9
Seniors who met the grade point average	43.0	48.6

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

## GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	135	205		
Number of Diplomas	111	149		
Rate	82.2%	73.4%		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate				
	n	%	n %		n	%	Met State Objective		
All Students	93	98.9	135	12.6	135	82.2	YES		
Gender									
Male	43	100.0	72	13.9	71	74.6	N/A		
Female	50	98.0	63	11.1	64	90.6	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	85	98.8	120	13.3	121	83.5	N/A		
African-American	6	100.0	13	7.7	12	66.7			
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	1	I/S	1	I/S	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
Non disabled	81	98.8	135	12.6	109	91.7	N/A		
Disabilities other than speech	12	100.0	0	N/A	26	42.3	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	91	98.9	135	12.6	N/A	N/A	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	93	98.9	135	12.6	135	82.2	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	49	98.0	58	3.4	56	80.4	N/A		
Full-pay meals	44	100.0	77	19.5	79	83.5	N/A		

HSAP PERFORMANCE	BY GR	JUP	-,-	-,-	-,-	-,-		-,-	-
	Enrollment 1st	" Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation
9	sh/Langua	ge Arts - S	State Perf	ormance	Objective				
All Students	144	97.2	18.2	29.9	31.4	20.4	62.8	YES	YES
Gender									
Male	74	95.9	27.5	20.3	31.9	20.3	62.3	N/A	N/A
Female	70	98.6	8.8	39.7	30.9	20.6	63.2	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	129	98.4	16.1	29.0	32.3	22.6	65.3	YES	YE
African-American	14	85.7	33.3	41.7	25.0	N/A	41.7	I/S	1/:
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/:
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	113	98.2	8.2	30.0	36.4	25.5	74.5	N/A	N/A
Disabled	31	93.5	59.3	29.6	11.1	N/A	14.8	I/S	1/:
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	144	97.2	18.2	29.9	31.4	20.4	62.8	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency		N// A	NUA	21/4	NUA	21/2	21/2		11
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/:
Non-Limited English Proficient	144	97.2	18.2	29.9	31.4	20.4	62.8	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status		00.4	00.0	00.0	00.0	0.0	50.4	\/F0	\ (E
Subsidized meals	77	96.1	23.9	38.0	28.2	9.9	52.1	YES	YES
Full-pay meals	67	98.5	12.1	21.2	34.8	31.8	74.2	N/A	N/A
	Mathemati			,					
All Students	144	97.2	19.0	17.5	32.8	30.7	71.5	YES	YE
Gender									
Male	74	95.9	21.7	13.0	27.5	37.7	68.1	N/A	N/A
Female	70	98.6	16.2	22.1	38.2	23.5	75.0	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	_								
White	129	97.7	13.8	17.1	35.0	34.1	77.2	YES	YE
African-American	14	92.9	61.5	23.1	15.4	N/A	23.1	I/S	1/:
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/:
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	113	100.0	12.5	14.3	36.6	36.6	80.4	N/A	N/A
Disabled	31	87.1	48.0	32.0	16.0	4.0	32.0	I/S	1/:
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	144	97.2	19.0	17.5	32.8	30.7	71.5	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Non-Limited English Proficient	144	97.2	19.0	17.5	32.8	30.7	71.5	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	77	96.1	28.2	18.3	35.2	18.3	60.6	YES	YE
Full-pay meals	67	98.5	9.1	16.7	30.3	43.9	83.3	N/A	N/

## **Abbreviations for Missing Data**

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

## DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Ayrior riigii				2001001
SCHOOL PROFILE	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 618)				
Retention rate	2.4%	Down from 5.8%	10.3%	9.1%
Attendance rate	97.1%	Up from 96.6%	95.8%	96.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented	11.2%	Down from 13.2%	4.9%	5.8%
With disabilities other than speech	16.5%	Up from 14.7%	12.7%	12.7%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	2.4% 5.2%	Down from 7.3% Down from 7.1%	10.1% 1.7%	9.8% 1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	14.8%	Up from 3.3%	9.4%	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	49.2%		46.4%	53.8%
Annual dropout rate	2.1%	Up from 0.0%	3.5%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	18.9%	Down from 19.2%	5.0%	3.6%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses		Up from 277	407	466
Students participating in worked-based experiences	60.4%	Up from 42.5%	19.4%	25.7%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	73.4%	Up from 72.7%	75.8%	77.7%
Career/technology completers placed	100.0%	N/A	97.9%	99.3%
Teachers (n= 40)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	50.0%	Down from 55.4%	48.6%	52.0%
Continuing contract teachers	90.0%	Down from 91.1%	81.4%	82.1%
Highly qualified teachers** Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	91.7% 5.4%	N/A	91.0% 8.4%	89.5% 8.6%
Teachers returning from previous year	84.7%	Down from 93.0%	84.8%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate	94.6%	Up from 94.3%	94.8%	95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$40,469	Down 1.7%	\$40,560	\$41,060
Prof. development days/teacher	13.5 days	Down from 17.2 days	10.3 days	10.6 days
School				
Principal's years at school	10.0	Up from 9.0	4.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	25.8 to 1	Up from 24.1 to 1	27.3 to 1	26.4 to 1
Prime instructional time	90.2%	Up from 89.6%	89.4%	90.0%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$7,266	Up 6.6%	\$6,189	\$6,310
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	55.6%	Down from 55.9%	58.5%	57.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	Down from Excellent	Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	94.4%	Down from 97.5%	91.8%	89.3%
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes
Character development program  * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Excellent	N/A	Good	Good
Highly availed death on the land		Our District		ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty		87.9%		0%
Highly qualified teachers in high povert	y schools**	92.8%		1%
11.11	•	State Objective		Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school		65.0%		es
Student attendance in this school		95.3%	Y	es

<sup>\*\*</sup>NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The 2003-04 school year was one of transition for Aynor High School. With the opening of the new Aynor Middle School, we became a 9-12 high school and were able to concentrate our efforts fully on the secondary program. This was the first year of full implementation of the International Baccalaureate program, and we had 19 juniors participate in IB classes. We also expanded our Advanced Placement program to include two additional AP Classes. We hope to continue to expand offerings to allow our students access to the highest quality education available.

With the adjustment of attendance lines between Aynor and Conway attendance areas, we added additional students who were new to our school. Since these students had previously attended a larger school, we worked to make their transition easy and to get to know them so that we could best meet their needs.

We administered both BSAP and HSAP exit exams during the fall and spring and conducted review sessions for students to aid them in passing these important assessments. Since the BSAP exit exam will be phased out with the graduating class of 2005, we worked with students caught between the old and new tests to ensure they were given the best opportunity for success.

Our SAT Team won the Lower State Championship for the third year in a row. We also had a National Merit Scholar finalist, and our graduating class was offered over \$1.4 million in scholarships to use in postsecondary education. Several sports teams won region championships, and our athletic director, Susan Chandler, was named SC Athletic Director of the Year.

As we strive towards the future, we must keep in mind those things that make our school a special place for all. We value the partnership we have with parents and personal care and commitment we feel for every student. Thank you for your support of our efforts.

Darrell W. Ricketts, Principal Chantrey Murphy, School Improvement Council Chairperson 2003-04

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	33	133	8				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	87.9%	I/S				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	93.1%	I/S				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	100.0%	86.3%	I/S				
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools with	out grade 11, only	the highest grade	was included.				